

EPARTMENT OF COMMERCE UNITED STATES **Patent and Trademark Offic**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DO		ORNEY DOCKET NO.		
08/743,002 1	1/01/96	DAMSOHN	Н	027/	₄₃₀₄₂ WC	
Г		QM01/0508	7	EXAMINER		
EVENSON MCKEOW	N EDWARDS		LEO,L			
1200 G STREET NW SUITE 700			AF	RT UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON DC :	20005	• •	3743		19	
			DATE	DATE MAILED: 05/08/00		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Office Action Summary

Application No. 08/743,002

Applicant(s)

Damsohn et al.

Examiner

Leonard R. Leo

Group Art Unit 3743

□ Responsive to communication(s) filed on Nov 23, 1999	
☐ This action is FINAL .	
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935	
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure t application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extension 37 CFR 1.136(a).	o respond within the period for response will cause the
Disposition of Claims	
	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
☐ Claim(s)	
☐ Claim(s)	
	are subject to restriction or election requirement.
Application Papers ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing	Review, PTO-948.
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objec	ted to by the Examiner.
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is \square approved \square disapproved.
\square The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
$\hfill\Box$ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
\square Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of	the priority documents have been
☐ received.	
☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Num	
received in this national stage application from the l	
*Certified copies not received: Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority	
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic phone	y under 33 0.3.6. 3 1 13(c).
Attachment(s)	
□ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 □ Information Displayure Statement(s), PTO 1449, Paper No.	n(e)
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413	0(5).
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-94	8
☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON TI	HE FOLLOWING PAGES

Serial Number: 08/743,002

Art Unit: 3743

The amendment filed November 23, 1999 has been entered. Claim 21 is cancelled, claims 1-20 and 22-38 are pending.

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

Claims 1-20, 23-30 and 36-37, drawn to a tube and shell heat exchanger, I. classified in class 165, subclass 158.

II. Claims 22, 31-35 and 38, drawn to a method of manufacturing a heat exchanger, classified in class 29, subclass 890.03+.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions Group I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process such as forming a unitary tube bottom and jacket with subsequent gluing of a plurality of tubes.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification and their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Upon election of either invention above, this application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Serial Number: 08/743,002 Page 3

Art Unit: 3743

I. The species principally illustrated in Figure 3a; and

II. The species principally illustrated in Figure 6.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1, 20 and 22 appear to be generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Page 4 Serial Number: 08/743,002

Art Unit: 3743

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(I).

The Examiner confirms the CPA was filed May 10, 1999 by Paul A. Schnose stating, "the filing fee in the continued prosecution application is being deferred at this time." The CPA practice has no provision for "deferred" fees. However, the Office charged the CPA filing fee in error and the application was forwarded to the Examiner for an action on the merits. The final Office action mailed May 25, 1999 is withdrawn. In the spirit of the CPA, this intentional omission delayed prosecution until an amendment was filed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Leonard R. Leo whose telephone number is (703) 308-2611.

> LEONARD R. LEO PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 3743

Jeonard & Les